

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO –82)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,308 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

SCHEDULE CTO-82 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
ARIZONA			
AZ	3	19-08048	Mohave, County of v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
CALIFORNIA CENTRAL			
CAC	2	19-01186	County of Santa Barbara et al v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN			
CAN	4	19-00949	County of San Mateo v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
FLORIDA SOUTHERN			
FLS	0	19-60431	The City of Hallandale Beach, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
FLS	0	19-60472	The City of Lauderhill, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
FLS	1	19-20618	Miccosukee Tribe of Indians of Florida v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
GEORGIA SOUTHERN			
GAS	5	19-00016	Atkinson County, Georgia v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
LOUISIANA EASTERN			
LAE	2	19-01594	Newman et al v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
MASSACHUSETTS			
MA	1	19-10292	Town of Provincetown v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
MA	1	19-10302	Town of Dennis v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
MICHIGAN EASTERN			

MIE	2	19-10488	Flint, City of v. ACTAVIS PHARMA, INC. f/k/a WATSON PHARMA, INC. et al
-----	---	----------	---

OKLAHOMA WESTERN

OKW	5	19-00149	Edmond City of v. Purdue Pharma LP et al Opposed 3/6/19
OKW	5	19-00158	Guthrie City of v. Purdue Pharma LP et al Opposed 3/6/19
OKW	5	19-00160	Ponea City City of v. Purdue Pharma LP et al Opposed 3/6/19